

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,716		11/13/2001	Yun-Hwa Peggy Hsieh	35721/239475(5721-17)	6335
826	7590	02/26/2004		EXAM	INER
ALSTON	& BIRD	LLP	MOHAMED, ABDEL A		
BANK OF			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000				1653	THE EXTORIBER
CHARLO	TE, NC	28280-4000		1055	

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			101
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/007,716	HSIEH, YUN-HWA	A PEGGY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	*****
	Abdel A. Mohamed	1653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	MONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO! te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	A <i>pril 2002</i> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	า.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• •	• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		· ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National	Stage
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	. 450)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 	5) \(\sum \) Notice of I 6) \(\sum \) Other: \(\sum_{\text{other}}	nformal Patent Application (PTC)-152)
·	ـــ	 -	

DETAILED ACTION

ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO IDS AND STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) and Form PTO-1449 filed 4/5/02 are acknowledged, entered and considered. Claims 1-16 are present for examination.

OBJECTION TO THE SPECIFICATION, CLAIMS AND

ABSTRACT

2. The specification, claims and abstract are objected in the recitation"RTA01/2104334v1" and "AttyDktNo. 35721/239475 (5721-17)" at the end corner of each page of the specification, claims and abstract. Deletion of the above file locator from the disclosure of the specification, claims and abstract would obviate this objection.

CLAIMS REJECTION-35 U.S.C. § 112 ^{2nd} PARGRAPH

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1653

Claim 1 is indefinite in the recitation "substantially homogenous" because it is unclear as to how much the type II-like collagen is homogenous. Amendment of the claim to recite the limitation of claim 4 or claim 5 or claim 6 or incorporating the limitations as defined on page 7, lines 13-22 in the instant specification is suggested.

Claims 7 and 11 recite the limitation "said salt" in step b), line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim 7 or claim 11.

Claims 8 and 9 recite the limitation "said salt solution" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in claim 7 or claim 8 or claim 9.

Claims 12 and 14 are indefinite and vague in the recitation "comprising administering...." because it is not clear to what kind of administration the claims refer. If it is intended for oral or parenteral or intradermal or other means of administration, the mode or route of administration should be recited in the claims (e.g., administering orally or intravenously or subcutaneously, etc.) is suggested.

Claim 16 is improper composition claim because the claim comprises only one ingredient, namely type II-like collagen. For composition claim to be proper, the claim should recite more than one component or ingredient. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 16 is indefinite in the recitation "substantially free of natural contaminants" because it is unclear as to how much the type II-like collagen is free of natural contaminants. Appropriate clarification is required.

Art Unit: 1653

CLAIMS REJECTION-35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weiner et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,843,445).

Claim 15 is directed to a pharmaceutical composition comprising type II-like collagen and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and claim 16 is directed to a composition that comprises type II-like collagen that is substantially free of natural contaminants. Similarly, Weiner et al. discloses a pharmaceutical formulations comprising type II collagen for the treatment of autoimmune arthritis of animals including humans. Since the pharmaceutical formulation of type II collagen is administered by oral, enteral or by-inhalation to humans for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, said pharmaceutical formulation has to be free of all contaminants including natural contaminants. (See e.g. the title, abstract, summary of the invention and the claims, particularly claims 1, 2, 6-8, 11, 12 and 15-25) as directed to claims 15 and 16. Thus, the prior art discloses the invention substantially as claimed, and as such, anticipates claims 15 and 16 as drafted.

CLAIM REJECTIONS-35 U.S.C. § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weimer et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,843,445) taken with Wolfinbarger (U.S. Patent No. 5,714,582) and Neff et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,925,736)

The primary reference of Weimer et al. teaches as discussed above a pharmaceutical formulations comprising type II collagen and its fragments and analogs thereof wherein the type II collagen is from chicken or bovine and a therapeutically effective amount is administered for the treatment of autoimmune arthritis of animals including humans. Since the pharmaceutical formulation of type II collagen is administered by oral, enteral or by-inhalation to humans for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, said pharmaceutical formulation has to be free of all contaminants including natural contaminants. (See e.g. the title, abstract, summary of the invention and claims 1-25) as directed to claims 12-16.

The reference of Weimer et al. differs from claims 1-16 in not teaching the extraction and use of type II-like collagen isolated from jellyfish species for treating arthritis such as rheumatoid arthritis. Although, Applicant acknowledges on page 2,

Art Unit: 1653

lines 23-28 and page 3, lines 22 to page 4, lines 26 in the instant specification that type II collagen from chicken and cows has been used to treat rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and induce immune tolerance. On page 7, lines 9-12 in the instant specification, Applicant defines by stating that "type II-like collagen" is intended collagen with characteristics similar to those of type II collagen of mammals, such as the molecular mobility, saltingout concentration, high content of hydroxylysine, solubility properties, absence of disulfide bonds, and highly hygroscopic nature of the protein. Further, Applicant acknowledges that methods for extraction of collagen (type I-V) from jellyfish are known in the art (See e.g., page 7, lines 29 to page 8, lines 11). The secondary reference of Wolfinbarger discloses the isolation and characterization of the mesogloea collagen of a primitive animal, the jellyfish Stomolophus nomurai, belonging to the class Scyophozoa in the Coelenterata. The jellyfish type V collagen was isolated, prepared, and purified similarly like the instantly claimed invention by the steps of extracting the collagen with dilute acid, precipitating the collagen with salt, then the precipitated salt fraction of said collagen resolubilized at pHs 3-9, preferably between 6 and 7.52 pH by sequentially increasing the molarity of salt from precipitated collagen from about 0.5 M to 4.0 M, preferably 1.0 M to 3.8 M and removing said precipitated collagen fraction after each sequential increase, and thereby collecting the collagen fraction precipitated at 3.5 M salt (See e.g., col. 3, lines 10 to col. 4, lines 66) as directed to claims 1, 2, 7, 10 and 11. The salt solution comprises alkali metal halides, e.g., NaCl (See e.g., col. 5, lines 19-20) as directed to claims 8 and 9. The reference discloses that the jellyfish comprises one or more elements selected from the group consisting of the mantle, tentacles and the

Art Unit: 1653

whole organism, and wherein said collagen comprises 0.25 to 95 wt % of collagen protein (See e.g., claims 4 and 5 of the reference) as directed to claims 3-5 of the instant invention. Thus, the reference teaches the production of invertebrate type V collagen, in particular from jellyfish of class *Scyophozoa* in the *Coelenterata* by extracting collagen in dilute acid and then precipitating it by a salt solution. The precipitated collagen resolubilized, collected and formulated as pharmaceutical composition to be used in a variety of medical and dental applications.

Furthermore, the reference of Neff et al. teaches methods and compositions for the treatment of arthritis, in particular rheumatoid arthritis. The compositions comprise one or more different types of collagen or collagen derivatives. Specific combinations of collagen and/or collagen derivatives may be used to treat arthritis, in particular rheumatoid arthritis. The collagen(s) and/or collagen(s) derivatives used in the subject compositions may be either obtained from natural sources or produced recombinantly (See e.g. summary of the invention). On cols. 3-5, the prior art describes the relationship of 18 types of naturally occurring collagens by their structures and their biological functions. Further, on col. 7 and Table I, the reference shows collagen types such as Type II and Type V that are used to treat arthritis e.g., rheumatoid arthritis. Although, type II that is naturally occurring or recombinantly produced is preferably used (See e.g., Examples disclosed on cols. 13 and 14) in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, hence, clearly showing that both types (i.e., types II and V) are useful in the treatment of arthritis. Thus, in view of this, the selection of the appropriate types of collagen would have been optimization of the art recognizable variation because the

Art Unit: 1653

secondary references of Wolfinbarger teaches the isolation and extraction of jellyfish type V collagen isolated from the same source with the same or substantially the same method as the claimed jellyfish type II collagen and the reference of Neff et al. shows the relationships of various collagens in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, particularly, the relationship between type II and type V collagens.

Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of the secondary reference of Wolfinbarger (i.e., isolation, extraction and purification of invertebrate type V collagen) and Neff et al. teachings of using various collagen e.g., types II and V to treat arthritis to the primary reference of Weiner et al. use of type II collagen from chicken and cows to treat rheumatoid arthritis and induce immune tolerance thereof because such features of using various collagens to treat arthritis are known or suggested in the art, as seen in the secondary reference, and including such features of using type II-like collagen isolated from jellyfish species into the method of the primary reference would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the known and recognized functions and advantages thereof.

Therefore, the combined teachings of the prior art makes obvious the claimed invention's collagen composition of type II-like collagen isolated from jellyfish species, method for extracting the composition thereof, and method for treating arthritis such as rheumatoid arthritis by administering a pharmaceutical formulation thereof so as to induce immune tolerance, absent of sufficient objective factual evidence or unexpected results to the contrary.

Art Unit: 1653

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE

6. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Abdel A. Mohamed number is (571) 272-0955. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00

p.m. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The appropriate fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are

(703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-7401 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0196

Mohamed/AAM

February 20, 2004

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Christopho & Low

Page 9

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800